# **Development of SEBoK** v. 1.0

Development of SEBoK v. 1.0

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

The development of SEBoK v. 1.0 was the work of 70 authors from around the world and took three years – from 2009 to 2012. The first three years of the project were sponsored by the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Systems Engineering (DASD(SE)), as outlined in detail below. The author team developed 3 draft versions of the SEBoK and received over 3,000 review comments from over 300 reviewers. Complete details on the team that built SEBoK v. 1.0 can be found below.

- Version 0.25 on September 15, 2010 A prototype that would create the first architecture and early content of the SEBoK for limited review and validation.
- Version 0.5 on September 19, 2011 A version suitable for early adopters.
- Version 0.75 on March 15, 2012 An interim version used to gather further community feedback and to address the most critical shortcomings identified in version 0.5.
- Version 1.0 The first version intended for broad use,
  v. 1.0 was release on September 14 2012.

After the release of v. 1.0, the BKCASE Governing Board was established; the Governing Board is made of representatives from the International Council on Systems Engineering (INCOSE), the IEEE Computer Society, and the Systems Engineering Research Center (SERC).

# Contents

Original Editor-in-Chief and Co-Editor-in-Chief Original Sponsor Core Team Part Team Leads Authors Partners Wiki Team **Technical Editors** Participants in SEBoK Development **SEBoK Reviewers** Development of the SEBoK SEBoK Version 0.25 SEBoK Version 0.75 SEBoK Version 0.5 SEBoK Version 1.0 SEBoK Version 1.0.1 References Works Cited **Primary References Additional References** 

# **Original Editor-in-Chief and Co-Editor-in-Chief**

The original BKCASE project was lead by Editor in Chief Art Pyster and Co-Editor in Chief Dave Olwell, who served from 2009 through early 2014. Without their leadership, vision, and drive the project would not have succeeded.

#### **BKCASE Editors-in-Chief**



Editor in Chief Art Pyster Stevens Institute of Technology (US) art.pyster@stevens.edu



**Co-Editor in Chief** David H. Olwell *Naval Postgraduate School (US)* dholwell@nps.edu

# **Original Sponsor**

The Department of Defense (DoD) recognizes the importance of SEBoK to its own workforce development and has provided substantial financial support and partnership to the BKCASE project. The office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Systems Engineering (DASD(SE)) is the original Department of Defense sponsor for the BKCASE Project. DASD(SE) graciously provided much of the funding for SEBoK development through their Systems Engineering Research Center (SERC) (see http://www.sercuarc.org). Those funds have primarily paid for the time spent by the SEBoK leadership, enabled the many volunteer authors to conduct quarterly physical workshops, and provided for the technical and administrative infrastructure to conduct such a complex distributed project. DASD(SE) has not determined the content of the SEBoK, but instead has allowed the author team and the community to determine what the SEBoK should contain. Without this support over the life of the project, the creation of the SEBoK would not have been possible. Moreover, DASD(SE) has continued to provide substantial support to BKCASE in 2013 through the SERC. Special thanks go to Stephen Welby, Kristen Baldwin, Nicholas Torelli, Don Gelosh, Scott Lucero, and Darren Dusza for their support throughout the BKCASE lifetime.

"This material is based upon work supported, in whole or in part, by the U.S. Department of Defense through the Systems Engineering Research Center (SERC) under Contract H98230-08-D-0171. SERC is a federally funded University Affiliated Research Center managed by Stevens Institute of Technology. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United States Department of Defense."

### **Core Team**

The BKCASE project was supported by a small Core Team of individuals. The Core Team provided content editing support, technical editing support, and handled planning, scheduling, and logistics for the first four years of the project.

#### **BKCASE Core Team**

**Stephanie Enck** Naval Postgraduate School **Nicole Hutchison** Stevens Institute of Technology

**Devanandham Henry** Stevens Institute of Technology

Jame F. Anthony, Jr. Sevatec, Inc. **Alice Squires** Stevens Institute of Technology

# **Part Team Leads**

The SEBoK is divided into seven primary Parts (see SEBoK Table of Contents). Through the release of SEBoK v. 1.0, someone graciously volunteered to lead a team of authors in writing the articles and coordinating article integration for each of the Parts. This was an enormous amount of work. We would like to thank each of these individuals for their time, dedication, and leadership. In addition, a member of the editorial staff supported each of the part team leads.

- Part 1 Barry Boehm
- Part 2 Richard Adcock
- Part 3 Garry Roedler
- Part 4 Harold (Bud) Lawson
- Part 5 Art Pyster
- Part 6 David Olwell
- Part 7 Heidi Davidz

### Authors

As a primarily volunteer effort, the BKCASE project

depended on dozens of authors from around the world to provide their own time and expenses. Each of the individuals listed below worked many hours to develop and improve SEBoK v. 1.0 and GRCSE v. 1.0. Without each of them, it would have been impossible to succeed. Many of them were supported by their organizations during this effort, including support for travel and labor, and we also gratefully acknowledge the organizational contributions.

#### Table 1. SEBoK v.1.0 and GRCSE v. 1.0 Authors. (SEBoK

Original)

Author	Author
Richard Adcock, Cranfield University and INCOSE, UK	Mo Jamshidi, <i>University of</i> <i>Texas San Antonio</i> , USA
James F. Anthony, Jr., <i>Sevatec, Inc.</i> , USA	Cheryl Jones, <i>United States</i> <i>Army</i> , USA
Erik Aslaksen, <i>Sinclair Knight</i> <i>Merz</i> , Australia	Chul Whan Kim, <i>Advisor of KCOSE</i> , Korea
Richard Beasley, <i>Rolls Royce</i> , UK	Naohiko Kohtake, <i>KEIO</i> <i>University</i> , Japan
Barry Boehm, University of Southern California, USA	Harold (Bud) Lawson, <i>Lawson</i> <i>Konsult AB</i> , Sweden
Stuart Booth, Office of the Secretary of Defense, USA	Yeaw Lip Alex Lee, <i>Defence</i> <i>Science and Technology</i> <i>Agency</i> , Singapore
John Brackett, <i>Boston</i> <i>University</i> , USA	Ray Madachy, <i>Naval</i> <i>Postgraduate School</i> , USA
Chuck Calvano, Naval Postgraduate School, USA	James Martin, The Aerospace Corporation, USA
Aaron Eng Seng Chia, National University of Singapore, Singapore	Gregory Mayhew, Boeing, USA
Kyung-il Choe, HUFS, Korea	Steven Mitchell, <i>Lockheed</i> <i>Martin</i> , USA
Matthew Cilli, Stevens Institute of Technology (PhD Candidate), USA	Yaniv Mordecai, <i>Technion -</i> <i>Israel Institute of Technology</i> , Israel
Edmund Conrow, <i>Management and Technology</i> <i>Associates</i> , USA	Ken Nidiffer, <i>Carnegie Mellon</i> <i>SEI and IEEE Systems Council</i> , USA
Paul Croll, CSC, USA	David H. Olwell, Naval Postgraduate School, USA
Cihan Dagli, <i>Missouri</i> University of Science and Technology, USA	Bo Oppenheim, <i>Loyola</i> <i>Marymount University</i> , USA
Judith Dahmann, <i>The MITRE</i> <i>Corporation</i> , USA	Gregory Parnell, <i>United States</i> <i>Military Academy</i> , USA
Heidi Davidz, Pratt & Whitney Rocketdyne, USA	Andy Pickard, Rolls-Royce, USA

Leopoldo Decardenas, Ricardo Pineda, University Raytheon, USA Texas at El Paso, USA Daniel Prun. Ecole Nationale de Johann (Hans) Demmel, Raytheon, USA l'Aviation Civile (ENAC), France Jeremy Dick, Integrate Art Pyster, Stevens Institute of Systems Engineering Ltd., Technology, USA USA Charles Dickerson, Garry Roedler, Lockheed Loughborough University, UK Martin, USA David Dorgan, Raytheon, Jean-Claude Roussel, EADS, USA France Dov Dori, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Israel Samuel Seymour, Johns and Massachusetts Institute Hopkins University, USA of Technology, USA Joseph J. Ekstrom, Brigham Seiko Shirasaka, KEIO Young University, USA University, Japan Stephanie Enck, Naval Hillary Sillitto, Thales Group Postgraduate School, USA and INCOSE, UK Janet Singer, International Marcia Enos, Lockheed Society for the Systems Martin, USA Sciences, USA Dick Fairley, Observer and John Snoderly, Defense Acquisition University, USA Author from IEEE, USA Alain Faisandier, Association Ariela Sofer, George Mason Francaise d'Ingenlerie University, USA Systeme, France T.L.J. Ferris, University of Alice Squires, Stevens Institute South Australia and INCOSE, of Technology, USA Australia Kevin Forsberg, OGR Bill Stiffler, Raytheon, USA Systems, USA G. Richard Freeman, Air Richard Swanson, Force Institute of Technology, **ORGANIZATION**, USA USA Sanford Friedenthal, SAF Massood Towhidnejad, Embry-Consulting, Lockheed Martin Riddle Aeronautical University, (retired), USA USA Guilherme Horta Travassos. Universidade Federal do Rio de Brian Gallagher, CACI, USA Janeiro (UFRJ), Brazil Devanandham Henry, Ricardo Valerdi, University of Stevens Institute of Arizona, USA Technology, USA Michael Henshaw, Mary VanLeer, Perceptive Loughborough University, UK Systems, Inc., USA Thomas Hilburn, Embry-Qing Wang, Institute of Riddle Aeronautical Software Chinese Academy of University and IEEE Sciences, China Computer Society, USA

Nicole A.C. Hutchison, Stevens Institute of Technology, USA	Brian Wells, <i>Raytheon</i> , USA (retired)
Duane Hybertson, <i>The MITRE Corporation</i> , USA	Brian White, CAU <ses, td="" usa<=""></ses,>
Scott Jackson, University of Southern California, USA	Ken Zemrowski, <i>TASC, Inc.</i> , USA

### Partners

Partner organizations supported the development of the SEBoK by providing personnel and opportunities to discuss the SEBoK in open forums such as conferences and workshops, and providing valued feedback on draft SEBoK materials. Some organizations have also chosen to have an official representative(s) participate in BKCASE, as shown below. A special thanks to our partners.

- The Institute of Industrial Engineers (IIE). The official IIE representative was Johann "Hans" Demmel.
- The Association for Computing Machinery (ACM). The official ACM Representative was Andrew McGettrick.
- The National Defense Industrial Association (NDIA) Systems Engineering Division. The official NDIA Systems Engineering Division representative was Garry Roedler.

In addition, most authors came from organizations that, although not officially affiliated with BKCASE, nevertheless supported author time and expenses to participate. Collectively, those organizations provided the majority of the labor and expenses that went into creating the SEBoK.

Finally, special thanks go out to INCOSE Presidents Samantha Robitaille and John Thomas for their early and constant support to the SEBoK development.

### Wiki Team

The transition from a traditional document to a wikibased platform was a long one. We are tremendously grateful to the folks who have helped us install, manage, and update the wiki:

- Nicole Hutchison (team lead), Stevens Institute of Technology
- Stephanie Enck (co-lead), Naval Postgraduate School

- Devanandham Henry, Stevens Institute of Technology
- Hans-Peter de Koning, European Space Agency
- Paola Di Maio, University of Strathclyde
- Ray Jorgensen, Rockwell Collins
- Sanford Friedenthal, SAF Consulting
- Jude Ken-Kwofie, Stevens Institute of Technology
- Steven Mitchell, Lockheed Martin
- Robin Valeson, formerly of Stevens Institute of Technology

The wiki is currently supported and hosted by Stevens Institute of Technology. Special thanks go to the Stevens' IT organization.

### **Technical Editors**

Every article went through rounds of technical editing to improve writing quality and consistency. Thanks go to:

- Emily Leach
- Abraham Raher
- Renee Malove
- Justin Gercken
- Dona Lee

# Participants in SEBoK Development

The following individuals have provided support to the BKCASE team over the course of the project:

- Johann Amsenga
- John Baras
- Johan Bendz
- Stuart Booth
- Dan Cernoch
- Richard Frost
- Edward Ghafari
- Mike Gourley
- Richard Gryzbowski
- Peter Jackson
- Kenneth Kepchar

- Mike Kreuger
- JoAnn Lane
- Richard Rosenthal
- Sven-Olaf Schulze
- Robert (Bob) Shishko
- Mary Jane Willshire

# **SEBoK Reviewers**

Reviewers are critical to the success and growth of the SEBoK. By providing feedback that represents the diversity of views and opinions on systems engineering, reviewers help the author team identify and describe ground truths for SE as well as areas of contention. The reviewers who provided feedback for earlier versions are listed in Table 3, below. In addition, there are a number of other reviewers who provided their comments directly on the wiki with only a user ID (and not a full name) and reviewers who were part of a group that provided a collective review; these reviewers are not listed in Table 3. Many thanks to all reviewers!

The author team would like to particularly acknowledge the efforts of several INCOSE working groups (WGs) who provided feedback:

- Systems Science WG
- Architecture WG
- Requirements WG
- Decision Analysis WG
- In Service WG
- Lean Systems Engineering WG
- System of Systems WG
- Process Improvement WG

The adjudication of all SEBoK review comments for all versions can be found at SEBoK Review and Adjudication.

**Table 3. Reviewers of earlier SEBoK versions.** (SEBoK<br/>Original)

Reviewer	Reviewer
Aase Jakobsson	Julie P. Gann, Northrop Grumman Information Systems
Ada Hunter, <i>Lockheed Martin</i>	Kal Toth, Portland State University

Adeel Khalid, Southern Polytechnic State University

Alan D Harding, BAE Systems

Alan Knott, Parsons Brinckerhoff

Ali Bahraman, Raytheon

Andrew Farncombe, John Boardman Associates

Andrew McGettrick, The Association for Computing Machinery (ACM)

Anne Sigogne, THALES

Annette Reilly, Lockheed Martin

Arjan van Druten

Arnold Neville Pears, Uppsala University

Bart Terrery, Lockheed Martin

Berger, Northrop Grumman Corporation

Bernadette Gasmi, EADS Airbus

Beth Wilson, Raytheon

Bob Epps and a consolidated review, Lockheed Martin

Bobinis, Lockheed Martin

Bruce Elliott, Arbutus Technical Consulting

Bruce Munro, Raytheon Space Mark Ardis, Stevens Institute and Airborne Systems

Bryan E. Herdlick, Applied Physics Laboratory, Johns Hopkins University

Chia Eng Seng Aaron, National Mark Maier, The Aerospace University of Singapore Corporation

**Curran Hawkins** 

Curt Zielinski, Lockheed Martin Dahai Liu, Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University Dan Dillery

Karen Charron, Raytheon

Karen | Richter, Institute for Defense Analyses Karl Best, Project Management Institute Ken Ellis, Northrop Grumman Aerospace Systems

Kennedy Conway

Kenneth Morris

Kim Halladay

Krister Sutinen, Siemens Industry Software AB

Lajuane Brooks, Aurora Sciences

Larri Rosser, Raytheon IIS

Laurie Nasta, Booz Allen Hamilton

Loïc Fejoz, RealTime-at-Work

Lori Zipes, NAVSEA NSWC Panama City Division (US Dept of Navy)

Lou Oddo, Northrop Grumman Aerospace Systems

Louisa Guise, Raytheon

M.T.F.M. van de Ven, INCOSE ISSWG

Marcel van de Ven, Movares Nederland b.v.

of Technology

Mark Lane, IBM

Martin Griss, Carnegie Mellon University

Martin Nazareth

Matthew Petty

Measurement While Drilling

Michael Bisconti, <i>Lockheed</i> Martin
Michael C. Dapp, <i>Lockheed</i> Martin MS2
Michael Coughenour, Lockheed Martin
Michael O'Neill, Georgia Tech Research Institute
Michael Ryan, INCOSE Requirements Working Group
Michael Stringer, US Air Force
Michael Wilkinson, <i>Niteworks/Atkins</i>
Michaelson, Lockheed Martin
Michele Hanna, <i>Lockheed</i> <i>Martin</i>
Mike Gayle, <i>Boeing</i>
Mike O'Neill, Georgia Tech Research Institute
Mike Prendergast
Mike Stemig, Raytheon
Mike Yokel, Lockheed Martin
MPHO R
MWD Tools
Nelson Roberts, <i>Lockheed</i> <i>Martin</i>
Odile Mornas, <i>Thales</i> Université
Paola Di Maio, University of Strathclyde
Patra Stroemer, <i>Lockheed</i> <i>Martin</i>
Paul Joannou, IEEE Computer Society
Paul Martellock, <i>LMT</i>
Peter Brook, Dashwood Consulting Ltd
Peter Mcgee, Lockheed Martin
Pierre Labreche, CMC Electronics
Pieter Botman, Independent
Ray Jorgensen, <i>Rockwell</i> <i>Collins</i>

Reagan Harper, SEAKR Hagar, Lockheed Martin Engineering Inc. Hans van Vliet, VU University, Richard Rifelli, Northrop Amsterdam Grumman Corporation Harold Baker Rob Schaaf, IEEE Harold Mooz, HMA Robert Cantrell, Raytheon IDS Henry Broodney Robert Mottl, NGAS Howard Eisner, George Robert Rathbone, EADS -Washington University Cassidian Systems Hubert Ernest Cody, Raytheon Robert Shishko, NASA IEEE Computer Society Roddey Smith, (collective review) NGC/NGAS/AMS/CWIN Ian Sommerville, University of Roger C. Pare, Lockheed Martin MS2 St. Andrews Ivan Mactaggart, AWE PLC Rolan Mazzella, Thales | Mason, Stevens Institute of Ronald Fradenburg, Ingalls Technology Shipbuilding Jack Ring, Educe LLC Roxann Marumoto, *Raytheon* Jaluane Brooks, Aurora Scott Werner, Honeywell Sciences Technology Services Inc. James Mason, Cornell Shirley Tseng, INCOSE University James J. Peter, Johns Hopkins Spurge Norman, MITRE University Stan Rifkin, Stevens Institute James Jamison, IBM of Technology Stephanie White, Long Island James Lentz, Northrop Grumman Corporation University, C.W. Post Campus James van Gaasbeek, Northrop Grumman Aerospace Stephen Townsend, PMI Systems Jay Mandelbaum, Institute for Susan Ferreira, University of Defense Analyses Texas at Arlington Jean-luc Garnier Susan Murray, Missouri S&T Jean-Luc Wippler, LUCA Theodora Saunders, IEEE AES, Ingénierie IEEE Sys Council, AHS Jeff Lankford, The Aerospace Thomas Tudron, Lockheed Corporation Martin Jennifer Milligan, Lockheed Timothy W. Lohr, Lockheed Martin MS2 Martin MS2 Velda G. Musgrove, Lockheed Jeremy I. Stuart, Boeing Martin MS2 Vidyut Navelkar, Tata JG Demmel, Raytheon Consultancy Services Ltd. Vincenzo Arrichiello, SELEX Jim Smith, Lockheed Martin Sistemi Integrati SpA Wayne Collier, Siemens PLM Joan E. Nolan, Northrop Software Grumman Corporation

JoAnn Lane	Wayne O'Brien, <i>Raytheon</i>
Joe Jenney	Weaver, Lockheed Martin
John Clark, Northrop Grumman Corporation and INCOSE	William Ely
John Goodwin, <i>US Navy</i>	William Golaz, Lockheed Martin Aeronautics
John Harauz, <i>Jonic Systems</i> Engineering	William J. Brocker, <i>Brocker</i> Engineering
John R Tubb	William Moore, Northrop Grumman Corporation
Johnny Duckworth, Space & Airborne Systems/Systems Development Center	William R. Lyders, ASSETT Inc.
Jon Holt, <i>Atego</i>	Yoshihiro Matsumoto, ASTEM Research Institute
Jose Luis Fernandez Sanchez, <i>Madrid Technical University</i> (UPM)	Yvonne Simms, <i>Boeing</i>
Julie DeMeester, Raytheon	

# **Development of the SEBoK**

Previous work to develop a guide to the systems engineering (SE) body of knowledge includes an International Council on Systems Engineering (INCOSE) sponsored online version of the Guide to the Systems Engineering Body of Knowledge (G2SEBOK) (INCOSE 2002). The G2SEBOK effort, which ended around 2004, is unrelated to BKCASE and the SEBoK despite the similarity in name. The INCOSE SE Handbook is quite popular and has continued to evolve, and has been the de facto community statement of systems engineering (SE) knowledge and structure until the SEBoK (INCOSE 2012).

Systems engineering (SE) knowledge has also been documented through the standards bodies, including ISO/IEC/IEEE 15288 Systems Engineering-System Life Cycle Processes (2008), IEEE/EIA 12207 Software Life Cycle Processes (2008), and ANSI/EIA 632 Processes for Engineering a System (2003).

These efforts offer a foundation for the SEBoK, which goes beyond them by providing a comprehensive and regularly refreshed view of all SE knowledge.

The scale and complexity of BKCASE emerged over the first few months of the project. Systems engineering is large and relatively immature when compared to more classic engineering disciplines, such as electrical and mechanical engineering. We are extremely pleased with how the community rose to the challenge. New authors continually stepped up when gaps in the writing team were identified and we routinely assembled 25 to 30 authors every three months in a multi-day workshop to iron out issues and make key decisions.

One of the most critical decisions occurred in January 2011, when the team confirmed a switch to a wiki-based presentation for the body of knowledge. This added much complexity to the effort, but offered great advantages in terms of the modularity for update, access to interim material by the authors, easy review and suggestions for improvements, and flexible navigation. In hindsight, the impact of choosing a wiki was much greater than we understood, but we are very happy we went down that path. We believe this format to present the body of knowledge will serve the SE community much better than if we had produced a traditional PDF or Word document.

The SEBoK is intended to evolve and morph with use and with changes in the field. The wiki structure is particularly well-suited for promoting that purpose. Users are asked to comment about what they like and dislike, what is missing and what should be removed. New articles will be added and existing articles updated regularly.

To help ensure both the quality of the SEBoK and its acceptance by the community, it was vital that the SEBoK be created with an open collaborative process. Specifically, each version had public review and each review comment was adjudicated. The adjudication results can be found at SEBoK Review and Adjudication.

### **SEBoK Version 0.25**

The first version of the SEBoK - a prototype labeled version 0.25 - was released as a document for limited review in September of 2010. A total of 3135 comments were received on this document from 114 reviewers across 17 countries. The author team reviewed these comments, paying particular attention to the reviews related to content and highlighting diversity within the community. The adjudication of version 0.25 comments may be seen here.

### **SEBoK Version 0.75**

Based on the review comments, the authors first began

by reorganizing the SEBoK to better align with the types of information included. The architecture was amended to add a handful of new articles and also about a third of the articles were revised.

### **SEBoK Version 0.5**

In January of 2011, the authors agreed to transition from a document-based SEBoK to a wiki-based SEBoK, with the intent to make the information readily accessible worldwide, provide additional methods for searching and navigating the content, and provide a forum for the community to provide feedback while keeping the content of the SEBoK stable between versions.

This second version of the SEBoK was released for world-wide comment in September of 2011. About 500 comments from approximately 40 reviewers were received. Selected comments were addressed in version 0.75, while others were deferred until version 1.0.

### **SEBoK Version 1.0**

Version 1.0, released in September of 2012, was the first version for broad community use. It made further revisions to the architecture, through adding, deleting, and moving articles. Most of the issues from the 0.5 and 0.75 reviews that had been deferred were addressed, though some issues were deferred to post-version 1.0 releases. All comments from all previous review cycles were entered into the final adjudication matrix and addressed. Additional wiki enhancements were added.

### SEBoK Version 1.0.1

This micro update, released in November of 2012, fixed a number of spelling and grammatical errors, corrects errors in acknowledgments, and made other very modest improvements to version 1.0 of the SEBoK. There were no edits to individual articles to: improve clarity or content, add references to new publications since version 1.0 was released, improve wiki navigation and operation, or make other more substantial changes. Comments from version 1.0 were collected and archived for adjudication in version 1.1 or later.

# References

### **Works Cited**

ANSI/EIA. 2003. *Processes for Engineering a System.* Philadelphia, PA, USA: American National Standards Institute (ANSI)/Electronic Industries Association (EIA), ANSI/EIA 632-2003.

INCOSE. 2002. "Guide to the Systems Engineering Body of Knowledge -- G2SEBoK." San Diego, CA, USA: International Council on Systems Engineering (INCOSE).

INCOSE. 2012. INCOSE Systems Engineering Handbook: A Guide for System Life Cycle Processes and Activities, version 3.2.2. San Diego, CA, USA: International Council on Systems Engineering (INCOSE), INCOSE-TP-2003-002-03.2.2

ISO/IEC/IEEE. 2008. Systems and Software Engineering - System Life Cycle Processes. Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization (ISO)/International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC)/ Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE). ISO/IEC/IEEE 15288:2008.

ISO/IEEE. 2008. Systems and Software Engineering -Software Life Cycle Processes. Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standards (ISO)/Institute of Electrical & Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Computer Society, ISO/IEEE 12207:2008(E).

### **Primary References**

ANSI/EIA. 2003. *Processes for Engineering a System.* Philadelphia, PA, USA: American National Standards Institute (ANSI)/Electronic Industries Association (EIA), ANSI/EIA 632-2003.

INCOSE. 2012. INCOSE Systems Engineering Handbook: A Guide for System Life Cycle Processes and Activities, version 3.2.2. San Diego, CA, USA: International Council on Systems Engineering (INCOSE), INCOSE-TP-2003-002-03.2.2

ISO/IEC/IEEE. 2008. Systems and Software Engineering - System Life Cycle Processes. Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization (ISO)/International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC)/ Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE). ISO/IEC/IEEE 15288:2008.

### **Additional References**

None.

Retrieved from

"https://sandbox.sebokwiki.org/index.php?title=Development\_of\_SEB oK\_v.\_1.0&oldid=56197"

This page was last edited on 21 August 2019, at 20:39.